

A.—**Sri T. CHANNIAH** (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Rs. 4,500 by the Village Panchayet, Chandrasekharapura.

(b) Six years back.

(c) Yes.

(d) Rs. 12,300.

Maternity Hospital, Sira Town (Provision of Electric lights)

Q.—620. **Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA** (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have received any report for providing electric lights to the Maternity Hospital at Sira Town ;

(b) if so, the reason for not providing the same so far ?

A.—**Sri T. CHANNIAH** (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The concurrence of the Local Bodies to meet the expenditure is awaited.

is the way in which answers are being furnished by the Government, it will work havoc on the time of the House and unnecessarily time is wasted. I would suggest earnestly that steps be taken to see that questions on identical subjects or on similar subjects should be answered on one and the same day.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am highly thankful to the Hon'ble Member for having brought this matter to my notice. This matter has been brought to my notice previously also. In future I shall see that questions are properly arranged so that the time of the House is not wasted.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—I had sent a requisition under Rule 39 requesting the Hon'ble Speaker to allot time for discussion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will give a ruling tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ನಾಗರ-ಹೊಸ ನಗರ).—ನಾನು ತಾಳಗುಂದ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ . . .

Mr. SPEAKER.—All right, we will consider. Next item of work.

SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES FOR 1954-55

(Procedure of debate).

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before next item of work is taken up, I would like to place before this Hon'ble House my suggestion regarding the manner and procedure to be adopted in transacting the business today.

As Hon'ble Members are aware, the motions for Demands for Grants that come up today relate to supplementary estimates. The debate is therefore to be restricted to the particulars contained in the estimates on which these grants are sought and the debate cannot touch the policy underlying the original grant except in certain circumstances. Similarly cut motions will have to be restricted to the items covered by the supplementary estimate. I have examined all the cut motions tabled by the Hon'ble Members, copies of which have already been circulated. The following cut motions are

Questions and Answers.

(Mode of Disposal)

***Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY** (Chitaldrug).—**Mr. Speaker, Sir,** in every Session of the Assembly, we have been making representations that when questions are tabled on similar or identical subjects, all answers should be supplied to such questions on the same day. Instead of doing this, they have been furnishing answers in their own way and taking the time of this Hon'ble House unnecessarily. I may point out that some questions relating to the States Re-organisation Commission were put on some day previously and today again these questions relating to the same subject have come up. Similarly another question regarding the official secrets was answered yesterday or day before yesterday and again the same question has come up today. If this

* Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

(MR. SPEAKER.)

inadmissible on the ground that they do not refer to the particulars contained in the estimates, namely,—

Serial Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9,
18, 19, 24, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37,
38, 41, 46 and 47.

The other cut motions are admissible.

We have three and half hours within which to dispose of ten motions. The procedure of moving the demands one after the other and permitting as much debate as the Members would Desire on each motion would inevitably result in confining the discussion to only a few motions, the remaining motions having to be put to the guillotine. I think it would be in the interest of members and would avoid waste of time if a slightly different procedure is adopted. I have examined all the Demands as well as the cut motions and I think we may adopt the following procedure. All the motions will be moved together. In respect of each Demand a specified time will be allotted. Discussion will proceed on that Demand and on the expiry of the allotted time, we will proceed to the discussion of the next Demand. At 5 O'clock all the demands will be put to vote together. Any other procedure will result in avoidable waste of time. The time-table I have arrived at is as follows considering the importance of the Demands and the cut motions tabled.

Demand No. 2	...	five minutes
Demand No. 11	...	five minutes
Demand No. 14	...	five minutes
Demand No. 17	...	five minutes
Demand No. 23	...	fifty-five minutes
Demand No. 26	...	one hour
Demand No. 27	...	fifteen minutes
Demand No. 40	...	half-an-hour
Demand No. 42	...	Twenty-five minutes

Demand No. 45 ... five minutes

The mover of a cut motion will take three minutes and the Minister concerned will briefly reply in respect of each Demand.

If Hon'ble Members are agreeable to this procedure, we may adopt the same. Otherwise, I will have to

proceed Demand by Demand with the inevitable result that some of the more important Demands coming at the end would have to be guillotined.

Therefore I would invite the suggestions of the Hon'ble Members of this House whether this can be approved or any slightly modified procedure can be adopted.

***Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK** (Molakalmuru).—I must congratulate the Hon'ble Speaker on the way in which these timings have been adjusted. This is the first time that timings have been adjusted in such a way that all the Demands can be discussed on a certain day. This is a very good procedure and if these timings are strictly enforced, it would be quite possible for us to express our views on all the Demands. Otherwise many of the Demands would go undiscussed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We have already wasted ten minutes. Therefore we shall be very cautious about time. I want the approval of the Leader of the Opposition.

***Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM** (Jagalur).—So far as this Session is concerned, I have no serious objection, Sir, though personally I would have been glad if full dress debate had been allowed on one or two items—specially the National Extension Scheme and Rural Development. There is not much time for such of those who want to oppose the motions. But I do not take serious objection on that score. But this should not be taken as a precedent during the regular Budget Session.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I would assure the Hon'ble House and the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition that we will never take it as a precedent. The House is supreme authority. I will always consult the House on all matters.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Sir, so far as Rural Development, National Extension Service and Irrigation Schemes are concerned, I think, out of the time allotted, about five or ten minutes may be allotted for making general observations opposing the motions. If the Hon'ble Speaker thinks it fit, that may be done.

Mr. SPEAKER.--Yes, we will see.

Motion moved:

“That further sums not exceeding the sums specified in the motions for Additional and Supplementary Demands for grants for the year 1954-55 and included in the list distributed to Members be granted to Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st March 1955 in respect of Demands 2, 11, 14, 17, 23, 26, 27, 40, 42 and 45.”

Statement by the Minister for Law and Education on Supplementary Estimates.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, in order to help discussion and also to throw some light on the estimate that has been placed before the House, I wish to make a few remarks.

At the last Budget Session there was a criticism that Supplementary Estimates were being placed in almost every session of the Legislature. While the principle that Supplementary Estimates may be placed at one of the sessions, preferably the last one before the Budget Session, is acceptable, in practice it is found difficult to adhere to it. Fresh commitments or occasion for incurring urgent expenditure on schemes and projects for which provisions could not have been made or foreseen in the Budget, arise frequently between sessions and it is required by the Constitution that such expenditure be covered by Supplementary Grants before the expenditure is incurred. It is also necessary to recoup the amounts advanced from Contingency Fund from time to time. Hence the need for placing the Supplementary Demands during this session.

Members will kindly see from the Statement of Supplementary Estimates placed on the table of the House and the explanation furnished against each item that it was not possible for the Government to have foreseen this additional expenditure at the time of

framing the Budget Estimates. It will also be noted that additional source in respect of the demands became available only after the Budget Estimates of 1954-55 were passed by the Legislature.

Coming to the Estimates themselves the demands may be classified under the following three categories:

	<i>Rs. in Lakhs</i>
1. Revenue Expenditure ...	30.90
2. Capital Expenditure ...	75.00
3. Loans and Advances ...	7.38
Total ..	113.28

Out of the demand of Rs. 30.90 lakhs on item 1 above, a sum of Rs. 27 lakhs is on works of public utility as detailed below and the entire expenditure except for Rs. 3.90 lakhs is covered by Central assistance.

	<i>Rs. in Lakhs</i>
National Extension Service (For Housing Accommodation).	2.00(a)
Local Development Works...	13.15
Upliftment of Scheduled Castes and Tribes.	0.69(a)
Schemes for Development of Handloom Industry.	10.36(a)
Schemes financed by the Central Silk Board.	0.80
Total...	27.00

(a) Covered by Central assistance.

The balance of Rs. 3.90 lakhs is on account of changes in accounting procedure or adjustment of old items and other small demands which do not call for remarks.

Out of the demand of Rs. 75 lakhs under item 2 above, Rs. 50 lakhs relate to Bhadra and Nugu Projects for which additional assistance has been secured from the Government of India. I may state that including the additional assistance for these projects the total grants in respect of them for the current year will amount to Rs. 245 lakhs. The balance of Rs. 25 lakhs is on account of power supply to irrigation pumps and this is fully covered by Central assistance.